







## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/808,277	03/14/2001	Andrew M. Warwick	GB 000029	3110
75	590 12/17/2002			
Corporate Patent Counsel U.S. Philips Corporation 580 White Plains Road			EXAMINER	
			ROSE, KIESHA L	
Tarrytown, NY 10591			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2822	
			DATE MAIL ED: 12/17/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

3	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/808,277	WARWICK, ANDREW M.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
0	Kiesha L. Rose	2822				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 18 November 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the contract of the con	ation. A proper reply to a name places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR.1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amothe shortened statutory period for reply	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	FR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>18 November 2002</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is		·				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer						
10. Other:	O TOCOVI	AMIR-ZARABIAN SORY PATENT EXAMINER NOLOGY CENTER 2800				
S Potent and Trademark Office						





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the amendment and response filed 18 November 2002 is not considered to be persuasive because the Okumura reference does disclose linking cells across the active and inactive areas, wherein the linking cells has a first region contacted by the gate electrode and the source region contacted by the source electrode. This limitation can be shown in figure 7a where the active and inactive cells contain linking cells (solid layer between the trenches where there is a space between the source and gate electrode) where the gate is contacted by the gate electrode and the source is contacted by the source electrode. Therefore the rejection stands.

AMIR ZARABIAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800